

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/625,222 07/22/2003		Timothy P. Hallock	AVD03-0001	8359		
28422 7	590 01/14/2004		EXAMINER			
HOYT A. FL		BAREFOOT, GALEN L				
P.O. BOX 1400 BOISE, ID 83	· -		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
DOI3E, 1D 63714			3644			

DATE MAILED: 01/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/625,222	HALLOCK, TIMOTHY P.		HY P.			
		1	Examiner		Art Unit				
			Galen L Barefoot		3644				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on							
·			ction is non-final.						
· <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims		parto quajro, roc	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	0.0.270.				
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or e	election requireme	ent.					
Applicati	on Papers								
•	The specification is objected to by th								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		•	•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
		for forcian	orianitu undan 25 II	LC C C 110(a)	(d) or (f)				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.</li> <li>37 CFR 1.78.</li> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.</li> </ul>									
Attachmen			_						
2) D Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Figure 1) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P		5) 🔲 No	tice of Informal Pa	PTO-413) Paper No(stent Application (PTC				

Application/Control Number: 10/625,222

Art Unit: 3644

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is improper to use proper names in the claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-10,12-16,18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hansen et al (6183038)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made tomake the vehicle of Hansen et al (6183038) an aircraft since it is a mere obvious application the door panel of Hansen et al (6183038) to that of an aircraft door, and the color is an obvious choice.

1. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hansen et al (6183038) in view of Clark (3015516) or Janz et al (4456644).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the armrest of Hansen et al (6183038) with a pad as taught by Clark (3015516) or Janz et al (4456644) since it is more comfortable.

Claims 11, 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hansen et al in view of Emerling (5904002) .

Application/Control Number: 10/625,222

Art Unit: 3644

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide finger recess in the armrest of Hansen et al as taught by Emerling.

Page 3

1. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Drawings

2. The drawings have been approved.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Galen L Barefoot whose telephone number is 703-308-2567. The examiner can normally be reached on flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, charles Jordan can be reached on 703-306-4159. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-305-7687.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1113.

January 12, 2004

Galen Barefoot
Primary Examiner

**Technology Center 3644**